UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,641	08/07/2006	Laurens Last	903-191 PCT/US	7920
	7590 07/31/200 & BARON, LLP	9	EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE		ALLEN, JEFFREY R	
SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/585,641	LAST, LAURENS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEFFREY ALLEN	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,20-24,30 and 31 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,11 and 20-24 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9, 30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/585,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 1-9, 30 and 31 in the reply filed on 6/18/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the use of rough surfaces as a sealing surface is a special technical feature and therefore claim 11 should be added to group 1. This is not found persuasive because the use of rough surfaces as a sealing surface is taught in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0094415 to JUD (abstract) and therefore is not a special technical feature.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,641

Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

3. Claims 1-9 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uematsu (U.S. Patent No. 6,142,341) in view of JUD (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0094415).

- 4. Regarding claim 1, Uematsu discloses a spout (10) designed to be sealed on a bag (15) comprising a plastic body which forms a channel that contents of the bag may pass through, wherein the spout has sealing sides (12) situated opposite each other, each sealing side having a sealing surface (12a) which is substantially flat and free from ribs and adapted to achieve a sealed connection with the bag (col. 4, lines 28-30).
- 5. Uematsu fails to disclose wherein each sealing surface has a rough surface structure.
- 6. JUD teaches that it is known in the art to manufacture a closure for a container wherein the sealing surface has a rough surface structure (abstract).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have manufactured the sealing surface of Uematsu to be rough, as taught by JUD, in order to improve the seal between the spout and the bag.
- 8. Regarding claims 2-3, the modified spout of Uematsu teaches wherein the sealing surface can have a roughness value which lies between 26 and 34 in accordance with VDI Richtlinie 3400 (JUD, par. 9).
- 9. Regarding claims 5-8, the modified spout of Uematsu teaches wherein the body has a transverse wall (Uematsu, 11) with an opening in it, a tubular element (Uematsu, 20) extending from the side of the transverse side opposite the sealing surfaces and wherein the body has sealing walls projecting at an angle from the transverse wall that

are symmetrical, the sealing surfaces of the spout forming the outside of the sealing walls. The sealing walls are at the greatest distance from each other at a central area and are connected to each other at their ends (Uematsu, Fig. 3).

Page 4

- 10. Regarding claim 9, the modified spout of Uematsu teaches a bag having film walls provided with a spout that is sealed by a sealing technique (Uematsu, col. 4, lines 28-30).
- 11. Regarding claim 30, the modified spout of Uematsu teaches wherein the sealing surfaces have a rough structure over the entire sealing side.
- 12. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uematsu in view of JUD as applied above and further in view of Araki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,241,122).
- 13. The modified spout of Uematsu teaches all the claimed limitations as shown above but fails to teach wherein the tubular element is provided with a screw thread for a screw cap.
- 14. Araki teaches that it is known in the art to manufacture a spout for a bag wherein a tubular element on the spout has a screw thread (12) for a screw cap (2).
- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have manufactured the modified spout of Uematsu with a screw thread and screw cap, as taught by Araki, as another method of closing the spout.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,641 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY ALLEN whose telephone number is (571)270-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 AM TO 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781 /Anthony D Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781